BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED CENTHAL PAX CENTER

MAR 3 0 2006

Law Offices of

SENNIGER POWERS

One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63102

> Telephone (314) 231-5400 Facsimile (314) 231-4342

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

DATE: <u>3/30/06</u> PTO FACSIMILI		MBER: KCC 4782 571-273-8300		_ _
PLEASE DELIVI THIS FACSIMIL NUMBER OF PA	ER THIS FACSIM LE IS BEING SENT LGES: 12	ILE TO: Mail Stop And Laura J. Hilm INCLUDING CO	nert	<u>-</u>
TIME SENT:		OPERATOR'S NAME	Tina	
CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION				
I hereby certify the	at this paper is being low.	g facsimile transmitted to t	he Patent and Trademark Office on	Ĺ
Christina M. Spen Typed or printed r	cer name of person signi	ng certification		
Christine)	Megencer	3-30-	06	
Signature	Value 1		Date	
Type of paper tr	ansmitted:	RESPONSE TO OFFI	CE ACTION	
Applicant's Nam	ne: Tameka	Spence, et al.		
Serial No.:	10/039,237	Examiner:	M. Halpern	
Filing Date:	12/31/2001	Art Unit: <u>1731</u> C	Confirmation No.: 7293	
Application Title	e: PRO	CESS FOR MANUFACT DUCT EXHIBITING RE	URING A CELLULOSIC PAPER DUCED MALODOR	

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES CLEARLY, CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. CONFIRMING NUMBER IS (314) 231-5400.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

MAR 3 0 2006

KCC 4782 (K-C 17,029) PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of Tameka Spence, et al. Art Unit: 1731

Serial No. 10/039,237

Filed December 31, 2001

Confirmation No.: 7293

For: PROCESS FOR MANUFACTURING A CELLULOSIC PAPER PRODUCT

EXHIBITING REDUCED MALODOR

Examiner Mark Halpern

March 30, 2006

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, SIR/MADAM:

In response to the Office action dated January 31, 2006, please consider the following remarks:

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-6, 9-16, 18-20, and 26-41 are currently pending. No claims have been amended herein. Applicants respectfully note that the Office has found pending claims 26-41 in condition for allowance.

Request for Withdrawal of Finality of Action

Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the finality of the Office action. A final rejection on a second Office action is not proper if it includes a rejection based upon new